





RESEARCH

Topic: Solving climate change issues at the community level

Author: Aram Gabrielyan Phys.-Math. Ph.D

EU EaP CSF CC 3rd SC

The study action is implemented within the CSF Armenian National Platform Secretariat support to the ANP Working Groups' activities.

INTRODUCTION

In the field of climate change, the commitments (contributions) envisaged by the Paris Agreement of developing countries (including Armenia) will start in 2020. Most of them, forst of all, will take place in the communities. The state is obliged to create conditions for climate change mitigation and promotion of adaptation measures, including the provision of internal and external financial resources. According to CEPA, which also relies on the Paris Agreement on Climate Change Cooperation, the European Union, as "Regional Organization for Economic Integration" included in Annex 2 to the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, bears a number of commitments, including financial commitment to support developing countries in implementing climate change measures. Armenia's commitments under the Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) include a financial mechanism that is civil in nature and can serve as a basis for cooperation with the European Union on a civil level. Firstly, it is the introduction of the "ecosystem approach" in socio-economic development programs and projects, in which the financial instrument of ecosystem services serves as a mechanism for the implementation of this approach.

This tool is used in a number of EU countries and can be implemented in Armenia.

A situation has developed in our country where the economic and social development of communities depends almost entirely on state subsidies and subventions. This fact, certainly, does

not contribute to the development of self-governance of the society and self-sufficiency. Moreover, such conditions hinder the implementation of public initiatives, in particular investment projects.

It is necessary to change the situation by involving the population of communities and settlements in the identification and solution of socio-economic development problems, introducing an innovative mechanism of self-governance.

Several projects and programs aimed at addressing the problem of climate change in Armenia have been analysed within this project, as well as the government policy, the involvement and participation of the civilian population. The basic issues have been identified, the solution of which will create the necessary grounds for the motivated participation of the only beneficiary: the country's population. The study provides recommendations for creating institutional foundations in Armenia's rural communities through the use of Armenia's "Intended Nationally Determined Contributions" (INDC) financial instruments. As well as contribute to the strengthening and development of the capacities aimed at the implementation of the Paris Agreement with the assistance provided by CEPA, which will simultaneously ensure the approximation of the EU instruments.

The target groups of the project are the rural and urban residents of Armenia, who are the only beneficiaries.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (hereinafter referred to as the UNFCCC) is the main international multilateral treaty that regulates climate change issues internationally. It was signed in 1992 at the World Summit in Rio de Janeiro. As the negotiations on the drafting of the convention took place during the existence of the USSR, Armenia did not participate in the development of the convention and, in fact, the participation started from the moment the RA President signed it at the summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992.

Some initiatives under the UNFCCC since 1992 that are useful in terms of awareness and experience:

After signing the Convention, the Supreme Council of the Republic of Armenia ratified it in May 1993 (the Convention had not yet entered into force). In 1994, the Convention entered into force and the so-called "Berlin mandate" was adopted at the 1st Conference of the Parties (Berlin), and during 2 years of negotiations it was developed, and in 1997 The Kyoto Protocol was adopted at the 3rd UNFCCC Conference in Kyoto, Japan.

During this period, when Armenia had just gained sovereignty, problems arose that were typical to other states created by the collapse of the USSR. From one side, it was the inexperience, from

the other side, it was the transition to a new, still incomprehensible state after socialism, the experience of which didn't exist in the world.

Some of these states in the UNFCCC (former European Socialist countries, the three Baltic states, Russia, Ukraine and Belarus) were granted the status of "country with transitional economy", which were also included in the list of developed countries in Annex 1 to the Convention. The remaining countries, which were considered "developing", were mainly grouped in the so-called "77 and China" group (about 130 countries) and acted as countries "not included in Annex 1".

Thus, the status of the other nine republics of the former Soviet Union and of Albania, "acquired" some uncertainty, which was also due to the fact that these new countries were often perceived as former socialistic, that is, "countries with transitional economies". Some of these (Kazakhstan, Georgia) did not want(tolerate) to be called "developing". The problem was that the financial mechanism of the Convention (Global Environment Facility-GEF) could be used by the developing countries "not included in Annex 1", and in intergovernmental negotiations it was often hinted that the 10 countries mentioned above did not qualify for that status.

In order to resolve the issue, the delegations of three of these countries (including Armenia) initiated a process of "legal clarifications" on the status of these 10 countries. This took 2 years, and it was decided that these 10 countries can use all the financial tools intended for the developing countries. During the "legal clarifications a surprising face materialised, that the terms "developed" or "developing country", which are widely used in the UNFCCC, do not have a clear legal definition and origin in other international documents. Taking into account the results of the legal clarifications, the delegations of the 10 countries set up an informal "Central Asia, Caucasus, Albania, Moldova" (CACAM) negotiation team to protect the common interests of all 10 countries during negotiations through the team.

The similarity of the interests was largely due to the fact that the greenhouse gas emissions had fallen sharply in all these countries due to the deep economic downturn, which meant that the economic recovery and development could not have taken place without increased emissions. It had also become typical that the ownership was changing due to renouncing socialism. The nationwide (socialist) ownership of land and industrial enterprises was being privatized, which, through a procedure accompanied by illegal legislation, was falling into the hands of a limited number of people who, in cooperation with statesmen, were forming the oligarchic system.

Establishment of a negotiating faction for "Landlocked mountainous countries".

Article 4 (point 8) of the UNFCCC sets out the nine criteria used to describe the high vulnerability of a country due to climate change. The Convention provides a special treatment for the needs of such countries, in particular in the areas of finance, insurance and technology. 3 of the mentioned criteria fully correspond to the territory of Armenia (climate drought, vulnerable mountainous

ecosystems, landlocked). Based on this provision, on the initiative of Armenia, a "Landlocked Mountainous Countries" negotiating group was established within the negotiating environment of the Convention, which was called to contribute to the presentation and satisfaction of the needs of such countries.

On June 23rd, 2013, Armenia joined the Convention on "Transit Trade of Landlocked States". Armenia's accession to the Convention has a special economic and political significance, as it provides an opportunity to protect the country's interests under the free (costless) transit through the territory of third countries, which facilitates the transfer of "climate" technologies from cooperating countries.

Unfortunately, the Armenian government, which is under a transport blockade, is not taking advantage of this favorable opportunity yet in order to introduce "best available technologies" aimed at mitigating and adapting to climate change.

Kyoto Protocol (1997)

The idea of the "Third Way" and its substantiation (in contrast to the "Second Way" of Kazakhstan)

As you know, the Kyoto Protocol sets quantitative (numerical) obligations to reduce greenhouse gas emissions only for developed studiesdcountries. The list of these countries is summarized in "Appendix B" of the Kyoto Protocol (Armenia, of course, is not included in that list).

The Kyoto Protocol establishes so-called "flexibility mechanisms" to assist developed countries in meeting these obligations.

There are three of these: 1. "Joint Implementation", 2. "Trading in Emission Quotas" and 3. "Clean Development Mechanism" (CDM).

Only the developed countries included in "Appendix B" can participate in the first two mechanisms, the developing country can participate in the CDM with the developed country. The mechanism of " Trading in Emission Quotas" provides an interesting opportunity for countries with economies in transition.

As they are allowed to increase their greenhouse gas emissions during economic recovery, until that growth occurs, these countries can sell their quotas in the carbon market. This, as an innovative market environment, is being shaped by developed countries, in order to sell their emission quotas (shares) to each other (for example, Ukraine sold the equivalent of 30 million tons of carbon dioxide quota to Japan for \$ 370 million). Faced with such temptation, Kazakhstan announced that it was changing its status of a developing country to a developed one (such an opportunity is provided by the Kyoto Protocol) and took steps in that direction, what unofficially got called the "Second Way".

Several countries of the CACAM faction, including Armenia, also tried to participate in that market, but with some caution, on the following principle:

The country assumes an internationally agreed quantitative commitment to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, but does not change its status of a developing country, it uses the proceeds exclusively through the "green investment scheme". In addition, as a developing country, it can participate in a CDM as a host country.

This initiative received the unofficial name "Third Way" and became a topic of international discussion.

The discussions lasted for almost 3 years.

However, due to the disagreement of the "77 and China" faction, the "Third Way" was not adopted. he main reason for this was that if the free quotas of those countries appeared in the carbon market, the price of greenhouse gas reductions would fall, hurting the developing countries to implement projects through CDM. Thus, Armenia continued its participation only with the CDM of the Kyoto Protocol.

If the described "third way" scheme was successful, it would have been possible to set up a circulating investment fund and implement at least \$ 100-150 million investment projects under the "green investment scheme" defined by the convention.

Kazakhstan's "Second Way" was also unsuccessful, as it required an amendment to Annex B of the Kyoto Protocol. The amendment had to be ratified by about 140 countries, which was practically impossible. Thus, Kazakhstan did not get its wish and at the same time was deprived of the opportunity to participate in a CDM.

Belarus was not fortunate either, but in this case the reason was that the country was late in ratifying the UNFCCC and was not included in the "Annex B" list of countries with quantitative obligations when the Kyoto Protocol was adopted.

In fact, due to the delay, Belarus failed to participate in the Kyoto Protocol and failed to sell its "surplus" quotas ("hot air") in the carbon market, following the example of Ukraine, and failed to introduce "best available technologies" to the country through a "joint implementation" mechanism.

The Paris Agreement provides ample opportunities for international cooperation, in particular under Article 6, and it is extremely important to have a good understanding of the procedures and opportunities for cooperation, to be vigilant, innovative and proactive. These initiatives should be generated in the non-state, civic strata, which are the only beneficiaries. It is not accidental that the Paris Agreement envisages the creation and expansion of a "platform of non-state entities". Gradual diversion of international climate funding to these entities (e.g. , The Covenant of Mayors of the European Union) is already noticeable. Most of the adaptation and

mitigation measures to address the climate change need to be implemented in these entities, in local communities (settlements), so the development and strengthening of their abilities, including the financial ones, becomes imperative. It is clear from this that the role of the Eastern Partnership Civic Forum is becoming key. And this does not apply only to the scope of activities of the 3rd group, but to all the groups. Moreover, it is expedient to involve the business sector and trade unions and professional groups (unions) in that process. It is advisable to use the opportunities provided by CEPA in this process.

According to CEPA, Armenia has committed itself to aligning its positions with those of the EU within the framework of the Climate Change Convention and the Paris Agreement. In particular, according to CEPA, Armenia should study the mechanisms of "emissions trading" and "joint implementation" operating in the EU under the Kyoto Protocol, so that it can cooperate with EU countries under their improved versions within the framework of the Paris Agreement. It is possible to increase the country's capacity, especially in local civil and business areas, by using the provisions of the "Capacity Building and Strengthening" cooperation with the EU within CEPA. In our opinion, it is necessary to form a corresponding institutional framework of "public-private cooperation". Its expediency is emphasized in the INDC of Armenia. In our opinion, for this purpose it is expedient to rely on the three parties that are members of the EaP CSO-national platforms: NGOs, the Chamber of Commerce and Industry, the Chamber of Commerce and Industry, as well as trade unions and the professional community (unions).

A brief analysis of the implementation of some of the Kyoto Protocol CDM projects.

Such an analysis is important, given that under the Paris Agreement, mechanisms similar, but also improved, to the flexibility mechanisms of the Kyoto Protocol will be implemented. Their implementation will strengthen the professional capacity in communities (villages and small towns), including the preparation and financing of relevant projects.

For the purpose of analysis, the 3 projects below, undertaken under the Clean Development Mechanism under the Kyoto Protocol, were studied.

a) The "Nubarashen Landfill Gas Capture and Power Generation Project in Yerevan" CDM project is underway, but will be completed in 2021.

The Municipality of Yerevan and the Ministry of Economy of the Republic of Armenia did not find it expedient to implement the program in full. The municipality of Yerevan provided only 7 hectares of land for the project, on the condition to not use the biogas to generate electricity, but to simply burn it in a torch.

There was an attempt to create an innovative financial mechanism through the project. However, the municipality of Yerevan created obstacles also in this issue and this initiative was not implemented either.

b) "The Lusakert Biogas Plant" was built under a CDM project. It operated, generated electricity and heat, but no certification was carried out for reduction of GHG emissions as it operated with deviations from the approved CDM project monitoring plan. Later, the production volume dropped noticeably due to shortage of raw poultry manure, and the plant ceased operations. However, the experience of the CDM project showed that this technology has the potential to be the "best available technology" if the "accessibility" is provided by the economic incentives set by environmental requirements.

Based on the experience of the Lusakert Biogas Plant CDM project, the establishment of such plants in a cluster scheme in the rural communities of Armenia should be considered under the INDC financial scheme of Armenia.

c) 3 CDM small hydropower projects approved by the International CDM Executive Body were not further processed. By using the CDM, the initiators tried to get additional benefits through the certificates generated in return to the reduced greenhouse gas emissions. But they did not succeed. The SHPP owners abandoned the CDM and continued to operate these normally, causing severe damage to the aquatic and offshore ecosystems.

A number of other CDM projects did not proceed further either.

We believe that some of these unfulfilled projects can be implemented under the scheme of the INDC financial mechanism of Armenia, the Climate Civil Revolving Investment Fund.

Currently, "Climate Civil Revolving Investment Funds" have been established in several communities. The project of increasing the energy saving of street lighting has been completed (Lchashen village). In this project, the foundation provides energy saving services to the village municipality by replacing conventional street lighting bulbs with LED luminaires. The municipality pays for this service to the fund at the expense of the funds saved until the full repayment of the fund's investments. The returned funds will be invested in other projects proposed by the village beneficiaries.

The combined program for the introduction of a solar photovoltaic system and the restoration of degraded agricultural lands (Mets Parn village) is being agreed upon. The introduction of a solar photovoltaic plant in this project will reduce the cost of hay fuel briquettes produced by the foundation, and the savings will be directed to the restoration of the degraded agricultural lands. The combination of these two projects significantly increases the rate of return on investment, thus creating a broad front for further investment.

1-2 visits were made to the mentioned villages within the framework of this program. During these visits the conviction that the scheme of the civil circulating investment mechanism is viable and has a perspective was strengthened.

Paris Agreement

Ahead of the Paris Agreement

Given the purpose of this paper, there is no need to comment separately on the Paris Agreement, so let us briefly review the commitments made by Armenia before the adoption of the Paris Agreement.

By interstate agreement, the states presented their commitments, called the "Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC)" prior to the 21st Conference of the UNFCCC countries in Paris.

The Republic of Armenia also presented the INDC of Armenia, as official commitments of the Republic of Armenia, which was approved by the Government decision N42, dated September 10, 2015 and submitted to the UNFCCC countries. This document formulates mitigation commitment not to exceed the total 633 million tons of CO2 equivalent emissions for the period of 2015 to 2050 (35 years). This cap is defined as per the "top-down" approach, which is based on i) the condition to keep the global average temperature below 2 degrees, ii) equality of the mankind to benefit from climatic resources, iii) the right of future generations to use climatic resources. Armenia also commits to reach "net zero" emissions by 2050, when the emissions will not exceed the amount of absorption and accumulation by the Earth's ecosystem, which, according to the IPCC is about 2 tons per capita per annum. The implementation of the commitments under INDC (including mitigation and adaptation measures) is highly dependent on contribution from new technologies, strengthening of local capacities, introduction of transparent Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system and, most importantly, establishing sustainable financial mechanism for implementation of those programs.

Below we present the financial mechanism defined by the INDC of Armenia, which is intended for the implementation of climate change mitigation and adaptation projects in the country. An extract from the INDC on this financial mechanism is attached (Appendix).

The internal component of this mechanism will be based on the climate civil revolving investment fund, which, on permanent basis, is replenished by allocations from the environmental fees, ecosystem service fees, including payments for the use of climate resources (carbon taxing).

In order to finance the projects locally (villages and cities), by the decree N646-A of the Government of the Republic of Armenia, June 19, 2017, it was instructed to create civil revolving investment funds, also at urban and rural levels.

Based on the above two decrees of the Government of the Republic of Armenia, "Khazer" Ecological and Cultural Non-Governmental Organization has applied to the UNDP Armenian Office and, after receiving their approval and support, is implementing the "Introduction of Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) mechanism defined by the Republic of Armenia in medium and small urban an rural communities" project funded by the Global Environment Facility.

Brief information about the project is available at the following websites: http://www.sgp.am/en/Projects?id=79 / https://khazer.org/en/hh-inds/

At present, with the support of the UNDP Armenia Office, an attempt is being made in a number of villages in Armenia to establish Civil Revolving Investment Funds and implement revolving investment projects with this toolkit.

The main goal of the project is to present the model of climate civil revolving investment funds with appropriate technological and organizational components, as a stable, decentralized, rural-owned and self-managed financial mechanism. The presented program will contribute to the development of knowledge and skills of community self-governance. The program envisages the selection of pilot rural settlements, communities or community associations that are ready to initiate and establish civil working investment funds.

A key component of the project is capacity building for the rural population to establish self-governing foundations, including tools for monitoring its activities.

In parallel with the presented program, proposals for improving the legislation will be developed in order to reduce investment risks and to widely introduce the scheme of Civil Revolving Investment Funds in other settlements of Armenia.

The most important precondition for the creation and operation of Civil Revolving Investment Funds is the perception of this innovative mechanism as an alternative approach to community self-governance for jointly organized activities. A guide has been developed to initiate the process of establishing the foundation, consultations and meetings are being held with the initiating groups, who will later become the founders of the foundation.

Currently, "Climate Civic Circulation Investment Funds" have been established in two communities. The project of increasing the energy saving of street lighting (Lchashen village) is being implemented. In this project, the foundation provides energy saving services to the village municipality by replacing conventional street lighting bulbs with LED luminaires. The

municipality pays for this service at the expense of the saved funds until the full repayment of the fund's investments. The funds returned in this way will be invested in other projects approved by the community.

The combined program for the introduction of a solar photovoltaic system and the restoration of degraded agricultural lands (Mets Parni village) is being agreed upon. The introduction of a solar photovoltaic plant in this project will reduce the cost of grass-fed briquettes produced by the foundation, and the savings will be directed to rehabilitating degraded agricultural lands by sowing perennial fodder grass. The combination of these two projects significantly increases the rate of return on investment, so the same scheme creates a wider front for further investment.

The projects initiated in both mentioned villages envisage full repayment of investments to the civil circulating investment fund belonging to the villagers in 3-5 years. Once these funds become available, they will be invested in future projects in these rural communities.

The transparency of the operations was mainly ensured through meetings organized via ZOOM. The initiators, presidents and directors of the community-based foundations, as well as (more often) interested representatives from the business sector (Chamber of Commerce and Industry) were invited to these. Meetings were also held in a number of rural communities.

Under the Paris Agreement, the INDC of Armenia envisages a special operation to ensure "transparency", which gives special importance to the creation of internal (national) system of "Measuring, Reporting and Verification" (MRV). An attempt was made to set up a monitoring group of schoolchildren within the framework of the energy saving project under the Lchashen Community Climate Civil Investment Fund established in the village of Lchashen.

The need for an MRV was presented and explained at a meeting of the board of trustees of the "Mets Parni Community" Climate Civil Investment Fund in the village of Mets Parni. In addition, it was presented that Mets Parni Foundation, which already has a high capacity to implement various climate change mitigation projects, can be an exemplary organization for implementing and demonstrating moderation.

The scheme of "Climate Civil Revolving Investment Fund" was also presented to the 3rd group of the EaP CSO Armenian National Platform.

Two most important obstacles

1) As a result of the process of "enlargement of communities", many rural communities were deprived not only of administrative levers of self-governance, but also of the right to community

ownership. As a result, an uncertainty arose about the establishment and operation of Civil revolving investment funds in those communities;

2) The creation of the Pan-Armenian "Climate Civil Revolving Investment Fund" envisaged by the INDC of Armenia for 2018, was suspended by the new Government of the Republic of Armenia. This significantly hampered the contribution of the financial mechanism defined by INDC in Armenian communities

Summary of proposals:

- ➤ Taking into account that the RA Government has resumed the "enlargement of communities", to organize an awareness-raising process for the establishment of civil revolving investment funds in the settlements.
- ➤ Establish inter-village clusters as Level 2 self-governing structures on the initiative of rural civil revolving investment funds.
- ➤ Continue lobbying for All-Armenian Climate Civil Revolving Investment Fund (capital) creation under INDC.
- Establish a Public-Private Partnership (PPP) platform using INDC technology and financial mechanisms involving community funds and regional commercial-industrial chambers.
- ➤ Initiate the EU assistance measures under CEPA to develop and strengthen capacity in rural areas under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement.
- ➤ Collaborate with the boards of trustees of relevant foundations and municipalities to support the formation of community foundations and operational capacity building;
- Establish a platform for monitoring the progress of investment projects and monitoring and controlling of the return on investment. To create an e-portal for that purpose,
- Accompany the above-mentioned and other activities with awareness through media, involvement of other organizations (including potential donors) and mobilization of resources.

The main problems and how to solve them

The practice of introducing the above-mentioned mechanism of self-organization has shown that the majority of the population is not ready to take the initiative and has no confidence in the innovative approach of self-organization to solve collective problems. Another problem is the lack of ability to shape the needs of the community and to turn these needs into investment project packages.

To address these issues, steps have been taken to unite and to create an environment of cooperation between the civil society stakeholders: mainly the communities and the business

community. As a scheme of cooperation, steps have been taken to organize a "public-private partnership". Here, the self-organized community acts as the client in the form of Klimate Civil Revolving Investment Funds, which allocate their resources, and the business sector acts as a contractor, which meets the needs requested by the community through its services.

The most important guarantee for the widespread implementation of the described mechanism of self-organization of the society is the perception and support of the scheme by the general population.

However, the biggest obstacle that accompanied the whole project was the epidemic, during which a "state of emergency" was declared, which did not allow sufficient direct contact with the rural population and, of course, the war situation and the declared martial law.

Conclusion

This analysis was important in order to understand where the obstacles are in order to overcome them.

For that purpose, it was useful to refer to the realized, unrealized and failed projects initiated by the CDM of Kyoto Protocol. The analyses of these showed a common obstacle, which is largely systemic and common to all. An attempt is being made to overcome this obstacle by creating an innovative institutional system and tool.

In other words, each CDM project has its own set of obstacles, yet the origin of them all is due to a common systemic circumstance. That is, that the real beneficiary of these projects, the civilian population, is not involved in the process. The private sector is only interested in the profitability of the project. They are almost not interested in the environmental, health or social impact. This is particularly true of small hydropower plants, the Lusakert Biogas Plant. The municipality of Yerevan is also not interested in the ecologically preferable investment, and is satisfied by simply removing the garbage from the "eyesignt", moving it and accumulating it in the landfill. The same goes for wastewater disposal. The real beneficiary, the population, is excluded and alienated from these processes. The public hearings and discussions are just formality, creating the illusion of participation, as they have no practical implications.

The interesting thing is that even the beneficiary population does not seem to be interested in their participation in the process. This applies to the initiatives of the private sector, as well as the initiatives and actions of the municipalities and village councils.

Analyzes show that the local self-governance electoral system is not based on the real, practical interests of the voters. After the election, the residents are deprived of the opportunity to interfere in the process.

We see the fundamental solution to the problem in giving real investment power and leverage to the population, when the residents themselves manage the public funds: act as the client, whereas

the municipalities and village municipalities, as well as the private sector, become the executors. This will be the real "public-private partnership". Such a cooperation scheme is envisaged by the financial component of the INDC of Armenia and is being piloted in several villages in Armenia under the UNDP Small Grants Program. At the initiative of the residents of the villages, "Climate Civil Revolving Investment Funds" are being established, the beneficiaries of which are all the residents of the village with equal investment rights. At their suggestion, the highest governing body of the foundation is formed: the board of trustees and the administration. The ownership belongs to the foundation and is inalienable.

The European Union can play an important role in this, and we believe that the EaP CSF, with its national platforms, is the best platform for testing and implementing the provisions of Article 6 of the Paris Agreement. The states with all the UNFCCC statuses, members to UNFCCC and Paris Agreement, are on that platform ("Annex 1", "Non Annex 1", "Annex 2", " Transition economy Annex 1").

Armenia can become a test country, as CEPA, in fact, provides approaches that are in line with the provisions of Article 6 of the Paris Agreement (common but differentiated obligations between countries with different statuses).

This study was produced with the financial support of the European Union. Its contents are the sole responsibility of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the European Union.

Excerpt from INDC Armenia (Protocol Decree N42 of the Government of the Republic of Armenia of September 10, 2015)

6.	Finance	Develop an appropriate legislative and institutional framework for adequate financial assistance. For this purpose a targeted financial mechanism consisting of two components should be created to finance climate change mitigation and adaptation projects:
		1) The first – internal (domestic) climate revolving investment civil fund, to be replenished on permanent base by allocations from environmental fees, ecosystem service fees, including "carbon taxing".
		2) The second –external (international) financial mechanisms with resource provision following the principle of additionality, such as the Green Climate Fund, the Adaptation Fund, the Global Environmental Facility, bilateral and multilateral funds, and other sources.
		The emerging financial mechanism will: a. Create realistic and operational grounds for establishment and development of the reliable public- private partnership (PPP), b. Ensure the right of future generations to 'use climate resources'.